

Computer-aided assessment at J-BJI

Robert Leek
r.leek@bham.ac.uk



UNIVERSITY OF
BIRMINGHAM

暨南大学伯明翰大学联合学院

19th June 2024

Context and history

- Jinan-Birmingham Joint Institute (J-BJI) is a transnational partnership between Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, and University of Birmingham, UK.
- First cohort at J-BJI enrolled in 2017/18.
- 4 programmes offered: Applied Mathematics with ...
 - Economics,
 - Information Computing Science,
 - Mathematics¹,
 - Statistics.
- University of Birmingham (UoB) teaches 80 credits per year (4 modules per year) and teaches the same modules to all students.
- UoB employs a fly-in/fly-out model, with most academics teaching there for ≈ 2 months per year.

¹“Pure Mathematics”

Context and history

- Continuous assessments initially contributed 20% towards module marks, all delivered using the Möbius² CAA system.
- During 2020/21 academic year, this increased to 50% due to resilience plans at UoB during the pandemic.
- Reduced back to 20% and then to 10% from 2022, with 10% contribution from hand-written problem sheets.
- 188 assessments delivered from 2017/18 to 2022/23.

²<https://www.digitaled.com/mobius/>

Möbius demo

Content development

- UoB hired student interns (in Birmingham) to implement questions and assessments in Möbius.
- Flying faculty staff focused on writing specifications for these assessments.
- Training and guidance was provided to interns and staff, led by colleagues Jonathan Watkins (JW) → John Christopher Meyer (JCM) → RL → Daniel Jones.
- Quite different approach to having a dedicated team of content developers: **all** teaching staff were involved in developing content in Möbius, to varying degrees.

Regrading

- We discouraged penalising students for syntactical mistakes (e.g. inputting “ $3x+2$ ” instead of “ $3*x+2$ ”).
- JCM & RL implemented a regrading procedure, whereby a regrader would check responses which deserved marks but were not awarded, which could be caused by:
 - syntax issues,
 - alternative valid answers/edge cases not anticipated by module lead,
 - mistakes/bugs in grading code/Möbius.

UoB improvements to Möbius platform:

- JW** wrote JavaScript bookmarklet to collate student responses in gradebook,
- RL** designed a grading code template to catch syntax errors (imperfectly) and assign 1% of marks, so they could be identified within gradebook.

Regrading
















Count	Question Index	Student Answer	Correct Answer	Raw Response	Mark
1	0	$45405360 \cdot a^2 \cdot b^5 \cdot c^8$	$135135 a^2 b^5 c^8$	<input type="text" value="0"/> / 1  	
1	0	$25025 \cdot a^8 \cdot b^3 \cdot c^4$	$225225 a^8 b^3 c^4$	<input type="text" value="0/1"/> 	
1	0	$1175720 \cdot a^4 \cdot b^3 \cdot c^{12}$	$1763580 a^4 b^3 c^{12}$	<input type="text" value="0/1"/> 	
1	0	17325	$225225 a^8 b^3 c^4$	<input type="text" value="0/1"/> 	
2	0	135135	$135135 a^2 b^5 c^8$	<input type="text" value="0.5/1"/> 	
1	0	$1763580 \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c$	$1763580 a^4 b^3 c^{12}$	<input type="text" value="0.5/1"/> 	
6	0	$225225 \cdot a^8 \cdot b^3 \cdot c^4$	$225225 a^8 b^3 c^4$	<input type="text" value="1/1"/> 	
3	0	$1058148 \cdot a^2 \cdot b^{12} \cdot c^5$	$1058148 a^2 b^{12} c^5$	<input type="text" value="1/1"/> 	
2	0	$1763580 \cdot a^4 \cdot b^3 \cdot c^{12}$	$1763580 a^4 b^3 c^{12}$	<input type="text" value="1/1"/> 	
2	0	$1763580 \cdot (a^4)^3 \cdot (b^3)^3 \cdot (c^{12})^3$	$1763580 a^4 b^3 c^{12}$	<input type="text" value="1/1"/> 	
1	0	$135135 \cdot a^2 \cdot b^5 \cdot c^8$	$135135 a^2 b^5 c^8$	<input type="text" value="1/1"/> 	
1	0	$135135 \cdot (a^2)^3 \cdot (b^5)^3 \cdot (c^8)^3$	$135135 a^2 b^5 c^8$	<input type="text" value="1/1"/> 	
1	0	$225225 \cdot a^8 \cdot b^3 \cdot c^4 \cdot x^8 \cdot y^3 \cdot z^4$	$225225 a^8 b^3 c^4$	<input type="text" value="1/1"/> 	
1	0	$255255 \cdot a^8 \cdot b^3 \cdot c^4$	$225225 a^8 b^3 c^4$	<input type="text" value="1/1"/> 	

Figure: JW's JavaScript bookmarklet collates student responses in gradebook.

Considerations for question/assessment design

Some general advice we provided to staff:

- Design your assessment with the strengths and limitations of CAA in mind.
- Design your mark scheme in detail **before** the assessment, ideally including partial marks & Error Carried Forward.
- Implement randomisation to make questions reusable/more robust against collusion. But be careful to ensure different instances are of comparable difficulty.
- Try to implement “natural” input for student responses.

Considerations for using CAA

- How will CAA be used? Summatively/formatively?
- Who will be designing assessments?
- Who will be implementing assessments?
- Who will be supporting assessments?
- Does the CAA system have the features you need? You might consider:
 - Linked-answer boxes.
 - Ability to change and rerun marking code.
 - Free-text boxes / file uploads for longer explanations.
- Is the system internationalised? For example, can it parse **【 1 , 2 , 3 】** ?
- Ask the wider mathematical community for recommendations and their experiences.

References

- [1] DigitalEd. *Custom Content Leads to College-wide Adoption of Möbius*. URL: <https://www.digitaled.com/resources/casestudies/mobius-assessment-adopted-college-wide>.
- [2] Robert Leek, John Meyer and Daniel Jones. "Reflections on six years of computer-aided assessment at the Jinan-Birmingham Joint Institute". In preparation.
- [3] 2017 intern team. *Maple TA 2017*. URL: <https://mapletabham2017.wordpress.com/>.
- [4] 2018 intern team. *Maple TA 2018*. URL: <https://mapletabham2018.wordpress.com/>.